

- 23. The mechanism of claim 22 wherein said moveable element includes a valve member in contact with a valve seat at said predetermined position.
- 24. A method of adjusting a spring biased mechanism, comprising the steps of:

biasing a moveable element toward a predetermined position at least in part with a spring having a spring preload force; and

adjusting the spring preload force at least in part by adjusting a voltage applied to a piezoelectric device operably coupled to the spring.

- 25. The method of claim 24 wherein said moveable element includes a valve member in contact with a valve seat at said predetermined position.
- 26. The method of claim 24 including a step of moving said moveable element away from said predetermined position at least in part by energizing an electrical actuator operably coupled to said moveable element.

27. A system comprising:

a plurality of spring biased mechanisms, each having a biasing spring operably coupled to bias a moveable element toward a predetermined position with a spring preload force;

each of said mechanisms including a spring preload force adjuster that includes a piezoelectric device operably coupled to said spring; and

said spring preload force being at least partially a function of a voltage applied to said piezoelectric device.

- 28. The system of claim 27 including a common electrical circuit electrically connected to each said piezoelectric device.
- 29. The system of claim 28 wherein each of said spring biased mechanisms includes an electrical actuator operably coupled to said moveable element in opposition to said biasing spring.
- 30. The system of claim 29 wherein each said electrical actuator includes a solenoid with an armature coupled to move with said moveable element.
- 31. The system of claim 30 wherein each said moveable element includes a valve member in contact with a valve seat at said predetermined position.

Remarks

Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-5 and 8-19 stand rejected under 35 USC \$102(a) over Bryant. In addition, claims 6 and 7 stand rejected under 35 USC \$103(a) over Bryant. Although Applicant respectfully disagrees, the arguments have been rendered moot by the cancellation of these claims.